

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/806,020	03/23/2001	Kunio Sekiya	24555	4982
26691 7	590 12/03/2002			
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP ATTN: KATHLEEN W. GEIGER, ESQ. P.O. BOX 951			EXAMINER	
			HALPERN, MARK	
WILMINGTON, DE 19899-0951			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	7
			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicati n No. Applicant(s) 09/806.020 SEKIYA, KUNIO **Advisory Action** Examiner **Art Unit** Mark Halpern 1731 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on ____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment

5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

10. Other: ____

canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

Claim(s) allowed: _

Claim(s) objected to: ____.
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u>.

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument is the same argument that was raised in the previous Amendment, Paper No. 4, to which examiner replied in Office Action of 8/8/2002, Paper No. 5. Applicant alleges that the cited prior art, Donnelly, teaches that the emulsified oil agent is applied to the web and not to the Yankee drier cylinder. Examiner responds as follows. Donnelly discloses that the emulsified oil agent is sprayed into the pressure nip 16' formed between the drying cylinder 17' and the associated press roll 19'. It is thus anticipated, or in the alternative, obvious that spraying of the emulsified oil agent into the nip sprays the agent onto the surface of the Yankee drier as it sprays the emulsified oil agent onto the web. This is clearly shown in Figure 2..